arXiv:1609.07020v1 [math.CA] 22 Sep 2016

SCALE-FREE UNIQUE CONTINUATION ESTIMATES AND LOGVINENKO-SEREDA THEOREMS ON THE TORUS

MICHELA EGIDI AND IVAN VESELIĆ

Abstract. We study uncertainty principles or observability estimates for function classes on the torus. The classes are defined in terms of spectral subspaces of the energy or the momentum, respectively. In our main theorems, the support of the Fourier Transform of the functions is allowed to be supported in a (finite number of) parallelepipeds. The estimates we obtain do not depend on the size of the torus and the position of the parallelepipeds, but only on their size and number, the size and scale of the observability set. Our results are on the one hand closely related to unique continuation and observability estimates which can be obtained by Carleman estimates and on the other hand to the Logvinenko & Sereda theorem. In fact, we rely on the methods used by Kovrijkine to refine and generalize the results of Logvinenko & Sereda.

1. Introduction and Motivation

We study L^2-equidistribution properties of eigenfunctions, or more generally, of functions f in a spectral subspace of a Hamiltonian operator H. Here by L^2-equidistribution we mean that (the square of) the total norm $\int_\Lambda |f|^2$ is controlled by the (square of) the L^2-norm $\int_S |f|^2$ over a subset $S \subset \Lambda$ which is itself in a certain sense evenly distributed within Λ. For the applications we have in mind (stemming from the spectral theory of random Schrödinger operators) it is sufficient to consider the case that Λ is a d-dimensional cube Λ_L of sidelength L or the corresponding torus $\mathbb{T}^d_L$.

The motivation for the present paper was the following result announced in [12] and proven in [11], which we formulate next. It applies to the Schrödinger operator $H_L = -\Delta_L + V_L$ on $L^2(\Lambda_L)$ where $\Lambda_L = (-L/2, L/2)^d \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, Δ_L is the Laplacian with Dirichlet, Neumann, or periodic boundary conditions, and $V_L : \Lambda_L \to \mathbb{R}$ is the restriction to Λ_L, of a measurable and bounded $V : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$. To give a precise meaning to the phrase 'evenly distributed' set let us introduce

Definition 1. Let $G > 0$ and $\delta > 0$. We say that a sequence $z_j \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $j \in (G\mathbb{Z})^d$, is (G, δ)-*equidistributed*, if

$$\forall j \in (G\mathbb{Z})^d : \quad B(z_j, \delta) \subset \Lambda_G + j.$$

Corresponding to a (G, δ)-equidistributed sequence $z_j \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $j \in (G\mathbb{Z})^d$, we define for $L > 0$ the sets

$$S_\delta = \bigcup_{j \in (G\mathbb{Z})^d} B(z_j, \delta) \subset \mathbb{R}^d \quad \text{and} \quad S_{\delta,L} = \bigcup_{j \in (G\mathbb{Z})^d} B(z_j, \delta) \cap \Lambda_L \subset \Lambda_L.$$

see Fig. 1 for an illustration. We suppress the dependence of the sets S_δ, $S_{\delta,L}$ on G and the choice of the (G, δ)-equidistributed sequence in the notation. These sets

Key words and phrases. scale free unique continuation property, equidistribution property, observability estimate, quantitative uncertainty principle, Logvinenko-Sereda Theorems .

©2016 by the authors. Faithful reproduction of this article is permitted for non-commercial purposes. September 23, 2016, torus-2016-09-21.tex.

will play in the following the role of *observability sets* as used in the context of control theory.

Theorem 2 (Nakić, Täufer, Tautenhahn, Veselić [12, 11])**.** *There is a constant $N = N(d)$, such that for all $G > 0$, all $\delta \in (0, G/2)$, all (G, δ)-equidistributed sequences, all measurable and bounded $V \colon \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$, all $L \in G\mathbb{N}$, all $E_0 \geqslant 0$ and all $f \in \operatorname{Ran}(\chi_{(-\infty, E_0]}(H_L))$ we have*

$$\int_{S_{\delta,L}} |f|^2 \geqslant C^G_{\mathrm{sfUC}} \int_{\Lambda_L} |f|^2, \tag{1}$$

where

$$C^G_{\mathrm{sfUC}} = C^G_{\mathrm{sfUC}}(d, \delta, b, \|V\|_\infty) := \left(\frac{\delta}{G}\right)^{N\left(1+G^{4/3}\|V\|_\infty^{2/3}+G\sqrt{E_0}\right)}$$

is the scale-free unique continuation constant.

The term *scale-free* refers to the fact that we have the same constant for all L. See also [14, 6] and the references quoted there for earlier results.



FIGURE 1. Illustration of $S_{\delta,L}$ within the region $\Lambda_L = \Lambda_5 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ for periodically (left) and non-periodically (right) arranged balls.

The Hamiltonian is lower bounded in the sense of quadratic forms by $-\|V\|_\infty$, consequently $\operatorname{Ran}(\chi_{(-\infty,E_0]}(H_L)) = \operatorname{Ran}(\chi_{(-\|V\|_\infty,E_0]}(H_L))$. Thus the term $\sqrt{E_0}$ appearing in the exponent of C_{sfUC} could be interpreted in two ways: either as the *length* or as the *supremum* of the energy interval. To understand which of the two quantities is relevant for our scale-free unique continuation estimate, one may ask:

Question 3. *Consider the situation of Theorem 2. Is there a constant N such that for all $E_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f \in \operatorname{Ran}(\chi_{(E_0-1,E_0]}(H_L))$, the estimate*

$$\int_{S_{\delta,L}} |f|^2 \geqslant \left(\frac{\delta}{G}\right)^{N\left(1+G^{4/3}\|V\|_\infty^{2/3}+G\right)} \int_{\Lambda_L} |f|^2, \tag{2}$$

hold true? The main point is that the scale-free unique continuation constant is no longer allowed to depend on E_0, the position of the energy interval of unit length.

The answer to this question may depend on the space dimension d and the (regularity) properties of the potential V. In fact, for individual eigenfunctions and the case of one single cube (i.e. $L = 1$) this has been studied in dimensions $d = 1$ and $d = 2$ by Burq and Zworski in [5] for continuous potentials, and by Bourgain, Burq and Zworski in [4] for the class of L^2 potentials.

Their results may be summarized as follows:

Theorem 4 (Bourgain, Burq, Zworski [4]). *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{T}^2$ be a non-empty set and let f be a solution of $(-\Delta + V(z) - \lambda)f(z) = g(z)$ on $\mathbb{T}^2$ for $V \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$. There exists a constant $K = K(\Omega, V)$, depending only on Ω and V such that*

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)} \leq K \left(\|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)} + \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right). \tag{3}$$

We remark that the above theorem is a consequence of a more general statement for solutions of the time dependent Schrödinger equation proved in [4] for $d = 1, 2$ and extended to dimension $d = 3$ by Bourgain in [3]. See also [1] and the references quoted there for more delicate results about the time-dependent Schrödinger equation on the torus

2. Results

In this paper we obtain a positive answer to a variant of Question 3, namely when the potential V is identically zero, i.e. the Hamiltonian is the pure Laplacian, and when the energy spectral subspace is replaced by a momentum subspace. In this situation we are able to apply methods from Fourier analysis and complex function theory which are more detailed than corresponding tools from the analysis of partial differential equations. If fact, it turns out that the result we are aiming for is very closely related to a remarkable Theorem by Logvinenko & Sereda, as will be explained in what follows. To formulate our main result we again need a geometric definition.

Definition 5. Let S be a measurable subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 1$, $0 < \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $a_j > 0$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, d$. We say that S is (γ, a)-thick if for each parallelepiped $P = [x_1 - a_1/2, x_1 + a_1/2] \times \ldots \times [x_d - a_d/2, x_d + a_d/2] \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with sides parallel to the coordinate axes and of lengths $a_1, \ldots, a_d$, we have

$$|S \cap P| \geq \gamma |P|. \tag{4}$$

In particular, if a sequence $z_j \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $j \in (G\mathbb{Z})^d$, is (G, δ)-equidistributed, the set $S_\delta = \bigcup_{j \in (G\mathbb{Z})^d} B(z_j, \delta)$ is (γ, a) thick with $\gamma = \omega_d \delta^d$ and $a = (2G, \ldots, 2G)$, where ω_d is the volume of the unit ball in d dimensions.

Now we can state our first main theorem.

Theorem 6. *Let $\mathbb{T}^d_L = [0, 2\pi L]^d$, $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^d_L)$ with $p \in [1, \infty]$ such that $\operatorname{supp} \hat{f} \subset J$, where J is a parallelepiped in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with side lengths $b_1, \ldots, b_d$. Set $b = (b_1, \ldots, b_d)$. Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a (γ, a)-thick set with $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_d)$ such that $0 < a_j \leq 2\pi L$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, d$. Then,*

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d_L)} \leq \left(\frac{c_1^d}{\gamma} \right)^{c_2 a \cdot b + \frac{4d+1}{p}} \|f\|_{L^p(S \cap \mathbb{T}^d_L)}, \tag{5}$$

where c_1, c_2 are universal constants and where $a \cdot b$ stands for the euclidean inner product $\sum_{j=1}^d a_j b_j$.

We adopt the convention that for $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^d_L)$,

$$\widehat{f} : \left(\frac{1}{L} \mathbb{Z} \right)^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d, \qquad \widehat{f}\left(\frac{k_1}{L}, \ldots, \frac{k_d}{L} \right) = \frac{1}{(2\pi L)^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d_L} f(x) e^{-i \frac{1}{L} x \cdot k} \, \mathrm{d}\, x.$$

In particular, $\operatorname{supp} \widehat{f} \subset \left(\frac{1}{L} \mathbb{Z} \right)^d \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ (see figure below).



FIGURE 2. 2-dimensional example of the support of $\widehat{f}$. The nodes correspond to the active Fourier modes as a subset of $\left(\frac{1}{L}\mathbb{Z}\right)^2$ and the gray rectangle around corresponds to the parallelepiped J as a subset of $\mathbb{R}^2$.

This is actually the analogue of the Logvinenko-Sereda Theorem for functions on the torus. The original theorem of Logvinenko and Sereda reads:

Theorem 7 (Logvinenko, Sereda [9])**.** *Let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ with $p \in [1, \infty]$ and assume that $\operatorname{supp} \hat{f} \subset J$, where J is an interval of length b. Let S be a (γ, a)-thick set. Then,*

$$\|f\|_{L^p(S)} \geq \exp\Big(- C\frac{ab+1}{\gamma}\Big)\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}. \tag{6}$$

This estimate has been significantly improved by Kovrijkine [7], who showed that under the same assumptions the constant of the Logvinenko-Sereda Theorem depends on γ only polynomially. Namely, he proved

$$\|f\|_{L^p(S)} \geq \Big(\frac{\gamma}{C}\Big)^{C(ab+1)}\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}. \tag{7}$$

He also extended this result to the case when the Fourier Transform of f is supported in a union of intervals, say $J_1, \ldots, J_n$, all of which of the same length b. In this case, the estimate is as follows.

Theorem 8 (Kovrijkine [7])**.** *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ with $p \in [1, \infty]$ and assume that $\operatorname{supp} \hat{f} \subset J_1 \cup \ldots \cup J_n$, where each J_k is an interval of length b. Let S be a (γ, a)-thick set. Then,*

$$\|f\|_{L^p(S)} \geq \Big(\frac{\gamma}{C}\Big)^{ab\left(\frac{C}{\gamma}\right)^n + n - \frac{p-1}{p}}\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}. \tag{8}$$

Moreover, he proved a d-dimensional version of (7) and (8).

Theorem 9 (Kovrijkine [8])**.** *Let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $p \in [1,\infty]$ and let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a (γ, a)-thick set.*

(i) If $\operatorname{supp} \hat{f} \subset J$, where J is a parallelepiped with sides parallel to the coordinate axes and of length $b_1, \dots, b_d$, then

$$\|f\|_{L^p(S)} \geq \Big(\frac{\gamma}{C^d}\Big)^{C(d+a\cdot b)} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}. \tag{9}$$

(ii) If $\operatorname{supp} \hat{f} \subset J_1 \cup \ldots \cup J_n$, where each J_k is a parallelepiped with sides parallel to the coordinate axes and of length $b_1, \dots, b_k$, then

$$\|f\|_{L^p(S)} \geq \Big(\frac{\gamma}{C^d}\Big)^{\left(\frac{C^d}{\gamma}\right)^n a\cdot b + n - \frac{p-1}{p}} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \tag{10}$$

In the case of functions on the torus we obtain again an analogue of the result by Kovrijkine.

Theorem 10. *Let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^d_L)$ with $p \in [1,\infty]$. Assume that $\operatorname{supp} \widehat{f} \subset \bigcup_{l=1}^n J_l$, where J_l's are parallelepipeds in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with sides of length $b_1, \dots, b_d$. Let S be a (γ, a)-thick set with $a = (a_1, \dots, a_d)$ such that $0 < a_j \leq 2\pi L$ for all $j = 1, \dots, d$. Then,*

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d_L)} \leq \Big(\frac{\tilde{c}_1^d}{\gamma}\Big)^{\left(\frac{\tilde{c}_2^d}{\gamma}\right)^n \sum_{j=1}^d a_j b_j + n - \frac{(p-1)}{p}} \|f\|_{L^p(S\cap\mathbb{T}^d_L)}, \tag{11}$$

where $\tilde{c}_1$ and $\tilde{c}_2$ are universal constants.

We emphasize that the frequencies λ_j do not influence the constant in (11). Thus the result is independent of the position of the parallelepipeds. Furthermore, it is scale-free, in the sense that it is uniform for all $L \geq \max\{a_1, \dots, a_d\}/(2\pi)$.

Let us compare Theorem 10 with Theorem 6. In the case of just one parallelepiped J, i.e. $n = 1$, the estimate (5) is better than estimate (11), since it shows a polynomial dependence on $1/\gamma$. This is a manifestation of the different proofs used for Theorem 6 and 10, respectively. On the other hand, Theorem 10 is obviously more general since ist allows the support of the Fourier transform $\hat{f}$ to be supported in more than one parallelepiped of sides $b_1, \dots, b_d$.

The above theorems display clearly the analogy between our results for the function on the torus with the earlier results of Kovrijkine for functions on the full space. In fact, not only the results for the two geometric situations are analogous, but the proofs of our results use the methods developed in [7] and [8].

The remainder of the paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we present some technical results used in the proof of Theorems 6 and 10. Sections 3 and 4 contain the proof of Theorem 6 and 10 respectively.

3. Four technical statements

The following two results are inspired by [13] and their proofs can be found in [7]. They are a key ingredients for the proofs of Theorems 6 and 10.

Lemma 11. *Let ϕ be an analytic function on $D(0,5) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < 5\}$ and let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval of unit length such that $0 \in I$. Let $S \subset I$ be a measurable*

set of non-zero measure, i.e., $|S| > 0$. *Set* $M = \max_{|z|\leq 4}|\phi(x)|$ *and assume that* $|\phi(0)| \geq 1$, *then*

$$\sup_{x\in I}|\phi(x)| \leq \left(\frac{12}{|S|}\right)^{2\frac{\log M}{\log 2}} \cdot \sup_{x\in S}|\phi(x)|. \tag{12}$$

Lemma 12. *If* $r(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k(x)e^{i\lambda_k x}$, *where* $p_k(x)$ *are polynomials of degree at most* $m-1$ *and* $\lambda_k \in \mathbb{C}$, *and* F *is a measurable subset of an interval* I *such that* $|F| > 0$, *then*

$$\|r\|_{L^\infty(I)} \leq \Big(\frac{316|I|}{|F|}\Big)^{nm-1}\|r\|_{L^\infty(F)}. \tag{13}$$

We now recall the Bernstein Inequality for periodic functions (see [10, Prop. 1.11] and [2, Chapter 11]), which will be used in both proofs.

Proposition 13 (Bernstein's Inequality)**.** *Let* $p \in [1,\infty]$, $d \geq 1$ *and* $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T}_L^d)$ *such that* $\operatorname{supp}\widehat{f} \subset [-b_1,b_1]\times\ldots\times[-b_d,b_d]$. *Set* $b = (b_1,\ldots,b_d)$, *then*

$$\|\partial^\alpha f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}_L^d)} \leq C^{|\alpha|}b^\alpha\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}_L^d)}, \tag{14}$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_d)$ *is a multi-index in* $\mathbb{R}^d$ *and* $0 < C \in \mathbb{R}$ *is a universal constant.*

Finally, we present a statement inspired by a claim in the proof of [7, Thm. 2']. In what follows we consider parallelepipeds $J_1,\ldots,J_n$ of the form

$$J_l = [\lambda_{l,1} - \frac{b_1}{2}, \lambda_{l,1} + \frac{b_1}{2}] \times \ldots \times [\lambda_{l,d} - \frac{b_d}{2}, \lambda_{l,d} + \frac{b_d}{2}] \tag{15}$$

for all $l = 1,\ldots,n$.

Lemma 14. *Let* $f : \mathbb{T}_L^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ *such that* $\operatorname{supp}\widehat{f} \subset J_1 \cup \ldots \cup J_n$ *where each* $J_l \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ *is as in* (15) *and the* $\lambda_{l,j}$*'s satisfy* $\lambda_{l,j} - \lambda_{l-1,j} > 2b_j$ *for all* $l = 1,\ldots,n$ *and all* $j = 1,\ldots,d$. *Then,* $f(x) = \sum_{l=1}^{n} f_l(x)e^{ic_l\cdot x}$, *where* f_l*'s are such that* $\operatorname{supp}\widehat{f_l} \subset J_l - c_l \subset [-b_1,b_1]\times\ldots\times[-b_d,b_d]$, *with* $c_l \in \frac{1}{L}\mathbb{Z}^d \cap J_l$, *and*

$$\|f_l\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}_L^d)} \leq K^d\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}_L^d)}. \tag{16}$$

Proof. The first part of the lemma follows by properties of the Fourier Transform. In fact, we perform a shift (in Fourier space) of all parallelepipeds J_l by a point $c_l \in \frac{1}{L}\mathbb{Z}^d \cap J_l$ so that $J_l - c_l \subset [-b_1,b_1]\times\ldots,\times[-b_d,b_d]$. Consequently, we obtain $\widehat{f}(\frac{1}{L}k) = \sum_{l=1}^{n}\widehat{f_l}(\frac{1}{L}k - c_l)$, where f_l's are functions whose Fourier Tranforms are supported in $[-b_1,b_1]\times\ldots,\times[-b_d,b_d]$. Consequently, $f(x) = \sum_{l=1}^{n} f_l(x)e^{ic_l\cdot x}$.

To prove the second part, we first assume that $L = 1$. We define $h : \mathbb{T}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\widehat{h}(k) = \chi_{\mathbb{Z}^d\cap[-1,1]^d}(k)$. It is easy to check that $h \in L^1$ and $\|h\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq 6^d$. We also set $\phi(x) := h(b_1x_1,\ldots,b_dx_d)$, defined on $[0,2\pi/b_1]\times\ldots\times[0,2\pi/b_d]$ and such that $\widehat{\phi}(b_1k_1,\ldots,k_db_d) = \widehat{h}(k)$. Consequently $\operatorname{supp}\widehat{\phi} \subset [-b_1,b_1]\times\ldots\times[-b_d,b_d]$. Then,

$$\widehat{f_l}(k-c_l) = \widehat{f}(k)\widehat{\phi}(b_1k_1,\ldots,b_dk_d) = \widehat{f * \phi(\cdot/b)}(k) = \widehat{f * h}(k),$$

and by Young's Inequality [10, Lemma 1.1., (ii)] we conclude

$$\|f_l\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq \|h\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)}\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)} = 6^d\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)}. \tag{17}$$

If $L \neq 1$, we define the transformation $T : \mathbb{T}^d_L \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}^d$, $T(x) = (x_1/L, \ldots, x_d/L)$ to obtain the function

$$g(x) = (f \circ T)(x) = \sum_{l=1}^{n} (f_l \circ T)(x) e^{iT^{-1}(c_l)\cdot T(x)} := \sum_{l=1}^{n} g_l(x) e^{iT^{-1}(c_l)\cdot T(x)}.$$

For the L^p norms of g and g_l we have

$$\|g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d_L)} = L^{d/p}\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)}, \quad \text{and} \quad \|g_l\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d_L)} = L^{d/p}\|f_l\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)}.$$

Then, using (17) we obtain

$$\|g_l\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d_L)} = L^{d/p}\|f_l\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq 6^d L^{d/p}\|f_l\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)} = 6^d \|g_l\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d_L)}, \tag{18}$$

which concludes the proof. □

4. Proof of Theorem 6

Proof of Theorem 6. We assume that J is centered at $c = (c_1, \ldots, c_d)$, i.e.,

$$J = [c_1 - b_1/2, c_1 + b_1/2] \times \ldots \times [c_d - b_d/2, c_d + b_d/2],$$

and we consider $\tilde{c} \in \frac{1}{L}\mathbb{Z}^d \cap J$ so that $J - \tilde{c} \subset [-b_1, b_1] \times \ldots \times [b_d, b_d]$. This shift (in Fourier space) affects f only by multiplication with the factor $e^{i\tilde{c}\cdot x}$, which does not change its L^p norm. Hence, without loss of generality we can assume $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^d_L)$ with support in $[-b_1, b_1] \times \ldots \times [-b_d, b_d]$.

Moreover, we first assume $p \in [1, \infty)$, $a = 1$ and $2\pi L \geq 1$. We will then recover the general estimate by a scaling argument in a.

We cover $\mathbb{T}^d_L$ with cubes of side lengths 1, denoted by P, and allowed to overlap.

Justified by the Bernstein Inequality, we fix $A > 1$ and we call a cube P *bad* if there exists a multi-index $\mathbb{R}^d \ni \alpha \neq 0$ such that

$$\|\partial^\alpha f\|_{L^p(P)} \geq 2^{d/p} A^{|\alpha|} (Cb)^\alpha \|f\|_{L^p(P)}, \tag{19}$$

where C is the same constant as in (14). We call P *good* otherwise.

It is easy to check that

$$\|f\|^p_{L^p(\bigcup_{P \text{ bad}} P)} \leq \frac{1}{2}\|f\|^p_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d_L)}, \tag{20}$$

and therefore we can discard all the bad cubes.

In fact, using the definition of bad cubes and the Bernstein Inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f\|^p_{L^p(\bigcup_{P \text{ bad}} P)} &\leq \sum_{\alpha \geq 1} \frac{1}{2^d A^{p|\alpha|}(Cb)^{p\alpha}} \|\partial^\alpha f\|^p_{L^p(\bigcup_{P \text{ bad}} P)} \\
&\leq \sum_{\alpha \geq 1} \frac{2^p}{2^d A^{p|\alpha|}(Cb)^{p\alpha}} \|\partial^\alpha f\|^p_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d_L)} \\
&\leq \sum_{\alpha \geq 1} \frac{2^p}{2^d A^{p|\alpha|}} \|f\|^p_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d_L)} \\
&= \frac{2^p}{2^d}\left(\frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{A^p}\right)^d} - 1\right)\|f\|^p_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d_L)},
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

and choosing $A = 5$, we obtain (20).

We now claim that there exists a $B > 1$ such that if P is a good cube then there exists $x \in P$ such that

$$|\partial^\alpha f(x)| \leq 4^{d/p} B^{|\alpha|} (Cb)^\alpha \|f\|_{L^p(P)} \qquad \forall\, \alpha. \tag{22}$$

In fact, arguing by contradiction

$$\begin{aligned} 2^d \|f\|^p_{L^p(P)} &\leq \sum_{\alpha\geq 0} \frac{1}{2^d B^{p|\alpha|}(Cb)^{p\alpha}} \|\partial^\alpha f\|^p_{L^p(P)} \\ &\leq \sum_{\alpha\geq 0} \Big(\frac{A}{B}\Big)^{p|\alpha|} \|f\|^p_{L^p(P)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\Big(1-(A/B)^p\Big)^d} \|f\|_{L^p(P)}, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\|f\|^p_{L^p(P)} \leq \frac{1}{2^d\Big(1-(A/B)^p\Big)^d} \|f\|^p_{L^p(P)}.$$

Choosing $A = 5$, as before, and $B = 15$, we conclude $\|f\|^p_{L^p(P)} < \|f\|^p_{L^p(P)}$. Therefore, the claim must be true.

Now, shifting (in configuration space) f appropriately, i.e., considering $f(\cdot - y)$ for $y \in \mathbb{T}^d_L$, we can assume $P = [-1/2, 1/2]^d$. We observe that such a shift affects only the Fourier coefficients of f by multiplication with a factor $e^{-i\frac{1}{L}k\cdot y}$ but not the support of the Fourier Transform.

Let $z = (z_1, \dots, z_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d$ and $D_j(0, R) = \{z_j \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z_j| \leq R\}$, for $j = 1, \dots d$. For $z \in D_1(0, R) \times \ldots \times D_d(0, R) \subset D_1(x_1, R + 1/2) \times \ldots \times D_d(x_d, R + 1/2)$, with $x \in [-1/2, 1/2]^d$, by Taylor expansion we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &\leq \sum_{\alpha\geq 0} \frac{|\partial^\alpha f(x)|}{\alpha!} |z - x|^\alpha \\ &\leq \sum_{\alpha\geq 0} 4^{d/p} (\widetilde{C}b)^\alpha (R + 1/2)^{|\alpha|} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \|f\|_{L^p(P)} \qquad (23) \\ &= 4^{d/p} \exp(\widetilde{C}(R + 1/2) \sum_{j=1}^d b_j) \|f\|_{L^p(P)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (22) in the second inequality and $\tilde{C} = BC = 15C$.

Without loss of generality, we set $\|f\|_{L^p(P)} = 1$ so that there exists $y \in P$ such that $|f(y)| \geq 1$. We claim that there exists an interval $I \subset P$, with P good cube, such that $y \in I$ and $\frac{|S\cap I|}{|I|} \geq \frac{\gamma}{C_1^d}$. In fact, using spherical coordinates around y we write

$$\gamma \leq |S \cap P| = \int_{P\cap S} \mathrm{d}\, x = \int_{|\xi|=1} \int_0^\infty \chi_{S\cap P}(y + r\xi) r^{d-1}\ \mathrm{d}\, r\ \mathrm{d}\, \sigma(\xi).$$

We set $\sigma_{d-1} = |\mathbb{S}^{d-1}|$. Then, there exists a point $\eta \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ such that

$$|S \cap P| \leq \sigma_{d-1} \int_0^\infty \chi_{S\cap P}(y + r\eta) r^{d-1}\ \mathrm{d}\, r. \qquad (24)$$

We define the interval I to be the longest line segment in P in the direction η, that is,

$$I = \{x \in P \quad | \quad x = y + t|I|\eta, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1\}.$$

Consequently, Ineq. (24) yields

$$|S \cap P| \leq \sigma_{d-1} d^{(d-1)/2} \int_0^\infty \chi_{S\cap I}(y + r\eta)\ \mathrm{d}\, r = \sigma_{d-1} d^{(d-1)/2} |S \cap I|.$$

Using the above inequality, the fact that $|I| \leq d^{1/2}$ and Stirling's formula for the Gamma function, it is easy to check that

$$\frac{|S\cap I|}{|I|} \geq \frac{|S\cap P|}{\sigma_{d-1}d^{d/2}} \geq \frac{\gamma}{\sigma_{d-1}d^{d/2}} \geq \frac{\gamma}{C_1^d},$$

where C_1 is a universal constant.

Let $F:\mathbb{C}\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}$ be such that $F(w)=f(y+w|I|\eta)$. Lemma 11 applied to F and the sets $[0,1]$ and $A=\{t\in[0,1]\mid y+t|I|\eta\in S\cap I\}$, whose measure is $|A|=\frac{|S\cap I|}{|I|}$, gives us

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{x\in S\cap P}|f(x)| &\geq \sup_{x\in S\cap I}|f(x)| = \sup_{t\in A}|F(t)| \geq \Big(\frac{|A|}{12}\Big)^{\frac{2\log M}{\log 2}} \sup_{t\in[0,1]}|F(t)| \\ &\geq \Big(\frac{|S\cap I|}{12|I|}\Big)^{\frac{2\log M}{\log 2}}\|f\|_{L^p(P)} \geq \Big(\frac{|S\cap P|}{C_2^d}\Big)^{\frac{2\log M}{\log 2}}\|f\|_{L^p(P)},\end{aligned}$$

where $M=\max_{|w|\leq 4}|F(w)|$.

This yields the following L^p estimate.

$$\|f\|_{L^p(S\cap P)} \geq \Big(\frac{\gamma}{C_3^d}\Big)^{\frac{2\log M}{\log 2}+\frac{1}{p}}\|f\|_{L^p(P)}. \tag{25}$$

In fact, set $A_\varepsilon := \{x\in P\mid |f(x)|<\Big(\frac{\varepsilon}{C_2^d}\Big)^{\frac{2\log M}{\log 2}}\|f\|_{L^p(P)}\}$. It is easy to check that $|A_\varepsilon|\leq\varepsilon$ for all $\varepsilon>0$. Choosing $\varepsilon=\frac{|S\cap P|}{2}$, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{S\cap P}|f|^p &\geq \int_{\{x\in P\,\mid\,|f(x)|\geq\left(\frac{|S\cap P|}{2C_2^d}\right)^{\frac{2\log M}{\log 2}}\|f\|_{L^p(P)}\}}|f|^p \\ &\geq \Big(\frac{|S\cap P|}{2C_2^d}\Big)^{2p\frac{\log M}{\log 2}}\Big(\frac{|S\cap P|}{2}\Big)\|f\|^p_{L^p(P)} \\ &\geq \Big(\frac{|S\cap P|}{2C_2^d}\Big)^{\frac{2p\log M}{\log 2}+1}\|f\|^p_{L^p(P)} \geq \Big(\frac{\gamma}{C_3^d}\Big)^{\frac{2p\log M}{\log 2}+1}\|f\|^p_{L^p(P)},\end{aligned}$$

which proves (25).

We now observe that if $w\in D(0,4)$ then $z=y+w|I|\eta\in D_1(0,4+1/2)\times\ldots\times D_d(0,4+1/2):=\widetilde{D}$, since $|y_j|\leq 1/2$ and $||I|\eta|\leq 1$. Then, using (23) we obtain

$$M=\max_{|w|\leq 4}|F(w)| = \max_{z\in\widetilde{D}}|f(z)| \leq 4^{d/p}\exp(5\widetilde{C}\sum_{j=1}^d b_j), \tag{26}$$

and consequently,

$$\log M \leq \frac{2d}{p}\log 2 + 5\widetilde{C}\sum_{j=1}^d b_j. \tag{27}$$

Substituting (27) into (25) and summing over all good parallelepipeds P, we have

$$\|f\|_{L^p(S\cap\mathbb{T}^d_L)} \geq \left(\frac{\gamma}{C_3^d}\right)^{\frac{4d+1}{p}+C_4\sum_{j=1}^d b_j}\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d_L)}, \tag{28}$$

and this concludes the proof for $p\in[1,\infty), a=1$ and $L\geq 1$.

If $p=\infty$, the proof follows the same steps but we notice that $\|f\|_{L^\infty(\bigcup_{\text{I good}} I)} = \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d_L)}$ (and we do not need all the derived L^p estimates, which implies that the constants C_3 and C_4 are smaller in this case). Hence, we conclude

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty(S\cap\mathbb{T}^d_L)} \geq \left(\frac{\gamma}{\tilde{C}_3{}^d}\right)^{4d+\tilde{C}_4\sum_{j=1}^d b_j} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d_L)}. \tag{29}$$

Finally, let us assume that $L>0$ and $a\leq 2\pi L$ and S is (γ,a)-thick. We define the transformation map $T(x_1,\dots,x_d)=(a_1x_1,\dots,a_dx_d)$ for all $x\in\mathbb{R}^d$. In particular, $T(\mathbb{T}^d_{L/a})=\mathbb{T}^d_L$ and $F=T^{-1}(S)$ is $(\gamma,1)$-thick. Further, we consider the function $g=f\circ T:\mathbb{T}^d_{L/a}\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}$. For such a function, we have that $\widehat{f}(\frac{1}{L}k)=\widehat{g}(\frac{1}{L}(a_1k_1,\dots,a_dk_d))$, which implies $\operatorname{supp}\widehat{g}\subset[a_1(c_1-b_1/2),a_1(c_1+b_1/2)]\times\ldots\times[a_d(c_d-b_d/2),a_d(c_d-b_d/2)]$. Moreover, $(\prod_{j=1}^d a_j)\|g\|^p_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d_{L/a})}=\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d_L)}$.

Therefore, applying (28) to g, F and $\mathbb{T}^d_{L/a}$ and using the relation between the L^p norms of f and g we conclude

$$\|f\|_{L^p(S\cap\mathbb{T}^d_L)} \geq \left(\frac{\gamma}{C_3^d}\right)^{\frac{4d+1}{p}+C_4\sum_{j=1}^d a_jb_j} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d_L)}, \tag{30}$$

and similarly for the L^∞-norm case. □

5. Proof of Theorem 10

Proof of Theorem 10. We first consider the case when the parallelepipeds J_l are all disjoint, i.e. we assume the J_l to be centred at $\lambda_l\in\mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$\lambda_{l,j}-\lambda_{l-1,j}>2b_j \qquad \forall\, j=1,\dots,d. \tag{31}$$

In addition, we also assume that $p\in[1,\infty)$, $a=1$ and $2\pi L\geq 1$.

Applying Lemma 14, we can write $f(x)=\sum_{l=1}^n f_l(x)e^{ic_l\cdot x}$, for f_l functions such that $\operatorname{supp}\widehat{f_l}\subset[-b_1,b_1]\times\ldots,\times[-b_d,b_d]$ and $c_l\in\frac{1}{L}\mathbb{Z}^d\cap J_l$, and we have

$$\|f_l\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d_L)}\leq K^d\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d_L)}. \tag{32}$$

Now, we cover $\mathbb{T}^d_L$ with parallelepipeds P with sides of length one and allowed to overlap at the boundary of the torus.

Let $y\in P$ the point where $|f|$ attains its maximum. Then, by the same argument in the proof of Theorem 6, there exists an interval (line segment) $I\subset P$ such that $y\in I$ and $\frac{|S\cap I|}{|I|}\geq\frac{\gamma}{C_1^d}$. We recall that $I=\{x\in P \quad | \quad x=y+t|I|\eta,\ 0\leq t\leq 1\}$ and that the set $A=\{t\in[0,1]\mid y+t|I|\eta\in S\cap I\}$ has measure $|A|=\frac{|S\cap I|}{|I|}\geq\frac{\gamma}{C_1^d}$.

Let $F:\mathbb{C}\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}$ be defined by

$$F(t)=f(y+t|I|\eta)=\sum_{l=1}^n f_l(y+t|I|\eta)e^{ic_l\cdot(y+t|I|\eta)}.$$

Taylor's expansion with integral rest applied to each f_l yields

$$F(t)=\sum_{l=1}^n p_l(t)e^{i\mu_l t}+\sum_{l=1}^n\frac{e^{ic_l\cdot(y+t|I|\eta)}}{(m-1)!}\int_0^t\frac{d^{(m)}}{ds^m}f_l(y+t|I|\eta)(t-s)^{m-1}=r(t)+T(t),$$

where $p_l(t)$ is a polynomial of degree $m-1$.

Using the estimate $|Q||f(x)| \leq \int_Q |f| + \sum_{\alpha \neq 0, \alpha_j \leq 1} \delta^\alpha \int_Q |\partial^\alpha f|$ for f_l and the cube P, it is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned}\max_{t\in[0,1]}|T(t)| &\leq \frac{1}{(m-1)!}\sum_{l=1}^{n}\sum_{|\alpha|=m}\max_{x\in P}|\partial^\alpha f_l| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{m!}\sum_{l=1}^{n}\sum_{|\alpha|=m}\frac{m!}{\alpha!}\sum_{\beta,0\leq\beta_j\leq 1}\max_{x\in P}\|\partial^{(\alpha+\beta)}f_l\|_{L^1(P)} := M.\end{aligned}$$

An application of Hölder's Inequality gives us

$$M^p \leq \frac{(2^d m^d n)^{p-1}}{(m!)^p}\sum_{l=1}^{n}\sum_{|\alpha|=m}\sum_{\beta,\beta_j\leq 1}\Big(\frac{m!}{\alpha!}\Big)^p\|\partial^{(\alpha+\beta)}f_l\|^p_{L^p(P)}.$$

Summing over all the parallelepipeds P and using the Bernstein Inequality and equation (32), we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_P M^p &\leq \frac{(2^d m^d n)^{p-1}}{(m!)^p}\sum_{l=1}^{n}\sum_{|\alpha|=m}\sum_{\beta,\beta_j\leq 1}\Big(\frac{m!}{\alpha!}\Big)^p 2^p\int_{\mathbb{T}^d_L}|\partial^{(\alpha+\beta)}f_l|^p \\ &\leq \frac{2^p(2^d m^d n)^{p-1}}{(m!)^p}\sum_{l=1}^{n}\sum_{|\alpha|=m}\sum_{\beta,\beta_j\leq 1}\Big(\frac{m!}{\alpha!}\Big)^p\int_{\mathbb{T}^d_L}(Cb)^{(\alpha+\beta)p}|f_l|^p \\ &\leq \frac{2^p(2^d m^d n)^{p-1}}{(m!)^p}\sum_{|\alpha|=m}\sum_{\beta,\beta_j\leq 1}\frac{(m!)^p(Cb)^{p\alpha}(Cb)^{p\beta}}{(\alpha!)^p}\sum_{l=1}^{n}\int_{\mathbb{T}^d_L}|f_l|^p \\ &\leq \frac{2^p(2^d m^d n)^{p-1}e^{pC\sum_{j=1}^d b_j}(C\sum_{j=1}^d b_j)^{mp}K^{dp}}{(m!)^p}\sum_{l=1}^{n}\int_{\mathbb{T}^d_L}|f|^p \\ &\leq \frac{(4mnK)^{dp}(C\sum_{j=1}^d b_j)^{mp}e^{pC\sum_{j=1}^d b_j}}{(m!)^p}\int_{\mathbb{T}^d_L}|f|^p.\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Using the fact that $|f|$ attains its maximum at $y \in P$ and applying Lemma 12 to r and the sets $[0,1]$ and A, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{L^\infty(P)} = \|F\|_{L^\infty([0,1])} &\leq \|r\|_{L^\infty([0,1])} + \|T\|_{L^\infty([0,1])} \\ &\leq \Big(\frac{C_3}{|A|}\Big)^{nm-1}\|r\|_{L^\infty(A)} + M \\ &\leq \Big(\frac{C_1^d}{\gamma}\Big)^{nm-1}\|r\|_{L^\infty(A)} + M \\ &\leq \Big(\frac{C_1^d}{\gamma}\Big)^{nm-1}\|F\|_{L^\infty(A)}\Big[\Big(\frac{C_1^d}{\gamma}\Big)^{nm-1}+1\Big]M \\ &\leq \Big(\frac{C_1^d}{\gamma}\Big)^{nm-1}\|f\|_{L^\infty(P\cap S)} + \Big(\frac{C_4^d}{\gamma}\Big)^{nm-1}M\end{aligned}$$

By a level set argument similar to the one used in the proof of Theorem 6, the above equation yields the L^p estimate

$$\|f\|^p_{L^p(P)} \leq 2^{p-1}\Big(\frac{C_5^d}{\gamma}\Big)^{pnm-(p-1)}\|f\|^p_{L^p(P\cap S)} + 2^{p-1}\Big(\frac{C_6^d}{\gamma}\Big)^{pnm-(p-1)}M^p.$$

Summing over all parallelepipeds P, we obtain

(34)
$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{T}_L^d}|f|^p &\le 2^p 2^{p-1}\Big(\frac{C_5^d}{\gamma}\Big)^{pnm-(p-1)}\int_{\mathbb{T}_L^d\cap S}|f|^p \\ &\quad + 2^{p-1}\frac{(4mnK)^{dp}(C\sum_{j=1}^d b_j)^{mp}e^{pC\sum_{j=1}^d b_j}}{(m!)^p}\Big(\frac{C_6^d}{\gamma}\Big)^{pnm-(p-1)}\int_{\mathbb{T}_L^d}|f|^p \\ &\le \Big(\frac{C_7^d}{\gamma}\Big)^{pnm-(p-1)}\int_{\mathbb{T}_L^d\cap S}|f|^p + \frac{(\sum_{j=1}^d b_j)^{mp}e^{pC\sum_{j=1}^d b_j}}{m^{mp}}\Big(\frac{C_8^d}{\gamma}\Big)^{pnm-(p-1)}\int_{\mathbb{T}_L^d}|f|^p,\end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality is due to (33) and the last inequality follows from Stirling's formula and the fact that $t \le 2^t$.

Now, we choose m positive and integer such that $\big(\frac{C_8^d}{\gamma}\big)^n\frac{\sum_{j=1}^d b_j}{m} \le \frac{1}{C_9}$, for example $m = 1+\Big[\sum_{j=1}^d b_j\Big(\frac{C_{10}^d}{\gamma}\Big)\Big]$ for a $C_{10} \ge C_9C_8$ so that the factor in front of the second integral is less than $\frac{1}{2}$. Then, we conclude

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}_L^d}|f|^p \le \Big(\frac{C_7^d}{\gamma}\Big)^{p\left(\frac{C_{10}^d}{\gamma}\right)^n\sum_{j=1}^d b_j+pn-(p-1)}\int_{\mathbb{T}_L^d\cap S}|f|^p. \tag{35}$$

If $p=\infty$ the proof follows the same steps with the same remarks as in the proof of Theorem 6.

In the general case when $L>0$ and $a_j \le 2\pi L$ and assumption (31) holds, we apply the same scaling argument as in the previous proof to obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}_L^d}|f|^p \le \Big(\frac{C_7^d}{\gamma}\Big)^{p\left(\frac{C_{10}^d}{\gamma}\right)^n\sum_{j=1}^d a_jb_j+pn-(p-1)}\int_{\mathbb{T}_L^d\cap S}|f|^p. \tag{36}$$

We conclude the proof considering the case when the parallelepipeds J_l are not assumed to be disjoint. We proceed by induction on the number of parallelepipeds n. If $n=1$ the result is true by either Theorem Theorem 6 or equation (36).

Let us assume that (11) is true for $n \le m$ and let us consider the case when $n = m+1$.

If (31) holds for all $l = 1,\dots,m+1$ and for all $j=1,\dots,d$, then the theorem is true by equation (36).

If (31) is not satisfied for some l, then we substitute b_j with $3b_j$ reducing the number of frequencies λ_l and consequently the number of parallelepipeds at least by one. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}_L^d)} &\le \Big(\frac{\tilde{c}_1^d}{\gamma}\Big)^{\left(\frac{\tilde{c}_2^d}{\gamma}\right)^m 3\sum_{j=1}^d a_jb_j+m-\frac{(p-1)}{p}}\|f\|_{L^p(S\cap\mathbb{T}_L^d)} \\ &\le \Big(\frac{\tilde{c}_1^d}{\gamma}\Big)^{\left(\frac{\tilde{c}_2^d}{\gamma}\right)^{m+1}\sum_{j=1}^d a_jb_j+m+1-\frac{(p-1)}{p}}\|f\|_{L^p(S\cap\mathbb{T}_L^d)},\end{aligned}$$

where the fist inequality follows by induction hypothesis and the second one is due to the fact that $3 \le \frac{\tilde{c}_2^d}{\gamma}$ as γ is a small number and $\tilde{c}_2$ is a very large one. Hence, the proof is now completed. □

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Angkana Rüland for stimulating discussions and her interest in the project, as well as Matthias Täufer for reading and commenting an earlier version of this manuscript. This work has been

partially supported by the DFG under grant *Multiskalen-Version des Logvinenko-Sereda Theorems*.

References

[1] N. Anantharaman, C. Fermanian-Kammerer, F. Macia, *Long-time dynamics of completely integrable Schrdinger flows on the torus* American Journal of Mathematics, **137** (3), (2015), 577–638.

[2] R. P. Boas, *Entire Functions*, Academic Press Inc., New York, 1954.

[3] J. Bourgain, *On the control problem for Schrödinger operators on tori*, Geometric aspects of functional analysis, 97–105, Lecture Notes in Math., 2116, Springer, Cham, 2014.

[4] J. Bourgain, N. Burq, M. Zworski, *Control for Schrödinger operators on 2-tori: rough potentials*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **15** (5) (2013), 1597–1628.

[5] N. Burq, M. Zworski, *Control for Schrödinger operators on tori*, Math. Res. lett. **19** (2) (2012), 309–324.

[6] A. Klein, *Unique continuation principle for spectral projections of Schrödinger operators and optimal Wegner estimates for non-ergodic random Schrdinger operators*, Comm. Math. Phys. **323** (3) (2013), 1229–1246.

[7] O. Kovrijkine, *Some Results related to the Logvinenko-Sereda Theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **129** (10) (2001), 3037-3047.

[8] O. Kovrijkine, *Some estimates of Fourier Transforms*, Ph.D. Thesis, Caltech, 2000.

[9] V. N. Logvinenko, Ju. F. Sereda, *Equivalent norms in spaces of entire functions of exponential type*. (Russian) Teor. Funkciĭ Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen. Vyp. **20** (1974), 102–111, 175.

[10] C. Muscalo, W. Schlag, *Classical and Multilinear Harmonic Analysis*, Vol. I, Cambridge University Press, 2013.

[11] I. Nakić, M. Täufer, M. Tautenhahn, I. Veselić, *Scale-free unique continuation principle, eigenvalue lifting and Wegner estimates for random Schrödinger operators*, submitted, 2016.

[12] ———, *Scale-free uncertainty principles and Wegner estimates for random breather potentials*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **353** (10) (2015), 919–923.

[13] F. Nazarov, *Local estimates for exponential polynomial and their applications to inequalities of the uncertainty principle type*, Algebra i Analiz **5** (4) (1993), 3-66; translation in St. Petersburg Math., J. **5** (4) (1994), 663-717.

[14] C. Rojas Molina, I. Veselić, *Scale-free unique continuation estimates and application to Schrödinger Operators*, Commun. Math. Phys. **320** (2013), 245–274.

TU Chemnitz

URL: `www.tu-chemnitz.de/mathematik/stochastik`